Park and green space self assessment guide

A guide to the self assessment of the quality of your parks and green spaces using the Green Flag Award criteria

February 2008

Introduction

Making the case for parks and open spaces in terms of public policy and the allocation of public resources remains a challenge for the sector. This challenge requires the ability for the sector to demonstrate commitment to improving how these services are provided and in achieving tangible outcomes for communities. With the focus of Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA) shifting towards self-assessment it is even more imperative to develop a standard self assessment model for parks and green spaces.

Self assessing some or all of the sites you manage will enable you to:

- Benchmark the quality across all or many of your sites
- Identify the strengths and weaknesses of each site
- Draw up an improvement plan for each site
- Strategically plan allocation of resources

Sixty nine per cent of local authorities already use the Green Flag Award criteria to assist with management processes, which Communities and Local Government and the Civic Trust is keen to encourage. To help standardise this approach and to provide an introduction to the Green Flag Award scheme, CABE Space in partnership with Communities and Local Government, the Civic Trust and the Green Flag Award scheme judges association committee developed this park self assessment guide.

Who is this guide for?

This practical guide has been produced to assist any organisation that manages green space to use the criteria contained within the Green Flag Award scheme's manual **Raising the Standard** as a management tool.

This guide provides a valuable introduction to the scheme's criteria and scoring, to help land managers to self assess their own sites against the national standard and see where they are on the journey towards a Green Flag Award.

It is envisaged this guide will be used in variety of ways:-

- At Entry level Organisations thinking of applying for a Green Flag Award in the future can self assess their sites.
- Present Green Flag holders Organisations already holding Green Flag Awards can test
 their other sites, particularly those which are unlikely to be candidates for a full application,
 but which might fall within locally adopted performance indicators relating to the use of the
 Green Flag criteria or for appraisal of quality through the PPG17 audit process.
- Private sector The guide could feature as a "core module" within existing monitoring systems - like the Sport England Playing pitch methodology. This approach will foster stricter adherence to the Green Flag Award criteria and be used in benchmarking.

How to use this guide

Preparation

There are two key stages to carrying out self assessment using this guide. The first is to familiarise yourself with the Green Flag Award manual Raising the Standard, which is available at www.greenflagaward.org.uk. With this in mind, this document allows you to record the scores, strengths, weaknesses and recommendations on site providing a brief reminder of the sections within Raising the Standard. To guard against the possibility that a self assessment exercise might produce results that are out of line with the award scheme, it is recommended that one member of the evaluation team is a Green Flag Award judge.

If you are new to the scheme, you can do a number of other things to help to understand the standard, see below:

- Visit a green space that has achieved the Green Flag Award and consider how it compares
 to the quality of local sites of a similar nature. Every year a list of Green Flag Award
 winners is published by the Civic Trust together with the contact details of the managing
 organisation. Contact the managers of successful green spaces nearby and arrange to
 meet them on site to discuss their experiences, see www.greenflagaward.org.uk.
- Make contact with a Green Flag Award judge in the area, and ask if they would be prepared
 to meet and discuss the appraisal of sites using the tool. The Civic Trust will be happy to try
 and find a judge who would be willing to help in this way, contact 0151 231 6900.

Carrying out the self assessment

Note: - Users of this document are strongly advised to have a copy of the **Raising the Standard** available as a useful reference guide.

The Green Flag Award scheme comprises two distinct elements – a desk assessment of the management plan and a field assessment of the site. What follows is a simplified journey you can take using the guidance linked to the Green Flag Award criteria, to assess your site.

1. The Desk Research

The desk research element of the assessment consists of nine scoring criteria. Across each of these the tool identifies the indicators that will suggest the standard of management information and policy development achieved, with each worth a potential 10 points. Do not use half marks. At the end of each criterion is a space to add your score.

2. The Field Research

The field research element of the assessment consists of eight main headings. From these eight headings, 27 individual scoring criteria arise, each worth a potential 10 points. Using the information from Raising the Standard, the guide identifies the main areas of concern under each of the individual scoring criteria and presents these as sub-headings. For each of the sub headings, the guide concentrates on the kind of things to look for on-site that indicates a good quality standard and it is important to stress that these are equally weighted.

There is space to record a score for each sub criteria noting that where questions are not applicable and no scores are being entered, these should be marked 'not applicable' (N/A) with a comment entered into the box to explain why a score was omitted, e.g. 'no buildings on site'. Note that N/A should only be used if the component part is not there or is not meant to be there.

In the case of anomalies, e.g. a park in an urban setting with little provision for activities other than dog walking, please score accordingly noting that, within a full Green Flag assessment, it is only permissible for the following items to be marked N/A as follows:-

Sub- Criteria	Example
7. Dog fouling	If dogs are banned from a site
9. Quality of facilities	If there are no facilities
13. Equipment maintenance	If there is no equipment
15 Pesticides and Peat	a nature reserve where no growing media or chemicals would be used
18. Arboriculture & woodland management	If there are no trees
20. Conservation of landscape features	On semi-natural areas
21. Conservation of buildings & structures	If these are not present

When a score has been agreed upon for each of the 27 sub-criterion across all eight main criteria, the scores should be transferred to the field research summary sheet at the end of the document.

It should be noted that there is also the opportunity to record strengths, weaknesses and make recommendations and these can later be used to develop an improvement plan for each of the sites that you assess, creating measurable targets that address any shortcomings and identify the necessary improvements.

This improvement plan is best delivered through a management plan for the site, and strategically through a green or open space strategy. These should be well considered and not constrained to performance against the set of Green Flag Award scheme criteria nor a specific funding stream.

Guidance on preparing both management plans and green or open space strategies can be found at www.cabe.org.uk, while further guidance on the Green Flag Award Scheme or how to become a Green Flag judge can be found at www.greenflagaward.org.uk or by telephoning the Civic Trust on 0151 231 6900.

This section of the guidance gives indicators of good quality under the headings of the 9 individual scoring criteria within the desk research aspect of an appraisal. As no two spaces are the same, it should be noted that the absence of a particular piece of evidence will not necessarily indicate that the plan is incomplete. Each page contains list of possible issues to be looked at as a guideline – these help as "memory joggers" and are not meant to be restrictive or exhaustive.

Α	ppraisal criterion 1: Presentation of the management plan	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
•	The plan should be clear, easy to read with a statement of purpose and must be	
	specific/appropriate to the site being assessed	
•	It should be a working document written for staff at all levels, park users and external partners to share as a tool for management and development of the park	
•	The plan should identify how the site is contributing towards an area's wider strategic aims	
•	A clear framework for decision making, setting out the current situation, how it might change and a planned programme of how to do this should be provided	
•	An explanation of the site's primary purpose and use, if appropriate using site survey information, should be given	
•	The current management arrangements, finances and resources should be set out.	
•	An action plan should reflect the aims and site analysis, and be linked to a timetable.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

A	ppraisal criterion 1: Presentation of the management plan	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
0	her things to consider when assessing a management plan are:	
•	The plan acts as a signpost to other documents that support the management plan / desk assessment process	
•	The use of images, maps, figures etc helps the reader to understand the site	
•	If the management plan is a complex document, a summary guide assists the reader to understand the structure and find relevant information.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide								
•	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

4	Appraisal criterion 2: Healthy, safe and secure	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
•	Consideration is given to maximise staff presence in parks, who have a sense of ownership of their sites, depending on the site being assessed	
•	Where practicable the plan should include a commitment to the promotion of healthy living through physical activity and healthy lifestyles	
•	Risk assessments, and the management of health and safety, addresses both visitors and staff	
•	Consideration has been given to encouraging user's perceptions of safety	
•	Relevant legislation such as the Health and Safety at Work Act (1974), and the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) have been adequately addressed	
•	Regular reviews of health and safety reporting and monitoring systems are included	
•	Where appropriate, consideration has been given to the control of dogs.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

Appraisal criterion 3: Maintenance of equipment, buildings and landscape	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
Grounds:	
The specification of the maintenance should emphasis the quality of the end product	
Where appropriate the community could be involved in maintenance.	
Buildings (where appropriate):	
The requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act have been followed	
 Policies should be in place that ensure maintenance, refurbishment and new buildings should endeavour to maximise their environmental performance 	
Policies should ensure new building design is appropriate to the site's overall ambience	
Equipment:	
• Staff are being trained in use and maintenance of equipment and provided with adequate safety equipment.	
General:	
Maintenance is to a high standard	
The frequency and scope of programmed maintenance of all infrastructure and equipment and the methods of recording are clearly defined	
Adequate risk assessments are in evidence	
• The frequency and scope of inspections is clearly defined, actions result and are recorded.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

Α	ppraisal criterion 4: Litter, cleanliness, vandalism	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
•	There should be policies in relation to cleanliness that stipulate aims and objectives, environmental criteria and regular cycles of maintenance	
•	There are clear lines of responsibility for dealing with issues of litter, cleanliness, and vandalism	
•	Consideration should be given to location of bins, waste storage and recycling (including composting)	
•	There are established policies and methods for gathering evidence relating to issues such as dog mess, fly tipping and vandalism including target response times (as appropriate)	
•	The plan should recognise the responsibilities to the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and the Waste Management Licensing Regulations 1994 as appropriate.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

Appraisal criterion 5: Environmental sustainability	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
 The principles of environmental management systems (eg EMAS, ISO Dragon etc) can be demonstrated 	14002, Green
Pesticide use should be minimised and justified	
Peat use should be eliminated	
Consideration has been given to:	
resource conservation	
waste management	
the reduction of pollution	
water efficiency	
energy efficiency	
The principles of reduce – reuse – recycle are embedded within management.	ement systems
An audit process (preferably site specific), and impact assessments, has which have informed the policy and working practices and management.	
Methods of monitoring and reviewing success against identified targets	are clearly identified.

Desk Research Scoring Guide										
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded		
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional			

Α	ppraisal criterion 6: Conservation of heritage and nature	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
•	The management plan should assist all involved to aid understanding of the current and potential:	
	 cultural and heritage value of the site 	
	 environmental / ecological value of the site 	
•	Specific conservation management plans may be drawn up. For historic sites for example, it may be appropriate that a conservation or restoration plan for the site is in place, which follows guidelines of a recognised body e.g. English Heritage, Heritage Lottery Fund, and is clearly linked to the management plan	
•	Designated nature conservation sites should meet appropriate standards set by the designating authority e.g. NNRs, LNRs SSSIs	
•	Methods of monitoring and reviewing success against identified targets are set out in the management plan.	

l	Desk Research Scoring Guide										
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded			
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional				

A	ppraisal criterion 7: Community involvement	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
•	Some form of research / market research has been undertaken which enables management to demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the user community, it's diversity and levels and patterns of use	
•	Appropriate methods of consultation exist and there are clear links between the results of consultation and the development and delivery of the management plan (including children and young people where appropriate)	
•	Partners have been identified and the plan demonstrates leadership shown by the management team in supporting a partnership approach to managing the site	
•	An appropriate range of community led / focussed activities have been established	
•	An appropriate range of volunteering opportunities are available	
•	Consideration has been given to the level of skills and resources needed by the management team for community involvement.	
•	The management plan should be able to demonstrate that there are appropriate levels of recreational facilities and opportunities for all sectors of the community (including opportunity for children's play and environmental education)	
•	There is evidence that the requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 have been met	
•	Targets for increasing involvement and monitoring success are clearly defined and set out.	

	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	

Appraisal criterion 8: Marketing	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
The site has a clear marketing plan in place, which helps inform the manager on best methods of promoting the site to local people/visitors	
The marketing plan is regularly reviewed and updated as appropriate	
The plan identifies how information about the site is provided to users eg about management, activities, features, ways to get involved etc as appropriate	
The way information and interpretation is presented has been carefully considered to be appropriate to it's purpose and audience	
Marketing efforts adequately contribute to and support efforts to attract non-users and infrequent users, under-represented and hard to reach groups	
Ways and successes in creating and encouraging use of the site have been identified (as appropriate)	
Where appropriate a programme of community events has been planned in partnership with the local community.	

l	Desk Research Scoring Guide										
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded			
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional				

Appraisal criterion 9: Overall management	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)
Managers have developed a substantial knowledge base regarding the green space and the management, maintenance and development of the site is carefully controlled and guided by the management plan	
Knowledge and robust information have been used to inform all strategies, policies, and procedures relating to managing and maintaining the space	
There appears to be a culture in place that supports the widespread dissemination of information about the green space	
The management of the green space is concentrated towards improving the space and providing excellent visitor experiences whilst conserving that which is valued in terms of character, heritage and ecology	
Concerted efforts are being made to make the green space as inclusive as possible with all sectors of the local community fully involved and encouraged to visit and enjoy the green space	
The plan should explain clearly exactly who is responsible for managing, maintaining and improving the green space being assessed.	

Desk Research Scoring Guide										
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	Overall Score awarded		
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional			

		Score			Score
1 Presentation			7 Commun	ity involvement	
2 Healthy, safe & secure			8 Marketing	g strategy	
3 Maintenance of equipment, buildings & landscape			9 Overall m	anagement	
4 Litter, cleanliness, vanda	alism		Total		
5 Environmental sustainat	oility		Average (divide by 9)	
6 Conservation of heritage	e & nature		Out of 30	(average x 30	
Criteria	Strengt	hs		Recommendat	ions
Presentation					
Healthy, safe & secure					
Maintenance of equipment, buildings & landscape					
Litter, cleanliness, vandalism					
Environmental sustainability					
Conservation of heritage & nature					
Community involvement					
Marketing strategy					
Overall management					

Additional comments

This section of the guidance gives indicators of good quality under the headings of the 8 individual scoring criteria and the 27 sub-criterion within the field research aspect of an appraisal. For each of the sub criterion, the guide concentrates on the kind of things to look for on-site that indicates a good quality standard. Where questions are not applicable and no scores are being entered, these should be marked N/A noting the guidance at the beginning of this guide regarding the six sub criteria for which a N/A is not permissible.

Each page contains list of possible issues to be looked at as a guideline – these help as "memory joggers" and are not meant to be restrictive or exhaustive.

Appraisal criterion 1: A welcoming place	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 1: Welcoming		
Issues include:		
 Entrances and gates Visibility Design and maintenance Staff. 		
Sub-criterion 2. Good and safe access		
Issues include:		
 Public transport Surrounding Areas Cycling Cars. 		

Field Research Scoring Guide												
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10						
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	16					

Appraisal criterion 1: A welcoming place	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 3: Signage		
Issues include: Visual design Information quality Location and appropriate numbers Maintenance.		
Sub-criterion 4: Equal access for all		
Issues include:		
 Diverse facilities Diverse spaces Benches Control of dogs Disabled access. 		

Field Research Scoring Guide									
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10		
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	17	

Appraisal criterion 2: Healthy, safe and secure	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 5: Safe equipment and facilities		
Issues include:		
 Toilets Accidents and emergencies (e.g. Risk assessments, Safety Policies, First aid etc) Children's play Hard surfaces. 		
Sub-criterion 6: Personal security in park		
Issues include:		
 Informed management Design & physical measures Community involvement Staffing and supervision. 		
Sub-criterion 7: Dog fouling		
Issues include: Legislation/enforcement Zoning Dog waste bins Information and education Presence of dog waste.		

Field Research Scoring Guide									
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10			
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	18		

Appraisal criterion 2: Healthy, safe and secure	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 8: Appropriate provision of facilities		
Issues include: Health Variety Visitor comfort and safety Accessibility.		
Sub-criterion 9: Quality of facilities		
Issues include: • Build quality and longevity • Appearance • Functionality • Condition.		

Field Research Scoring Guide									
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10			
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	19		

Appraisal criterion 3: Clean and well maintained	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score
Appraisar officiron of Olean and Well maintained	Hotes (strengths and weaknesses)	awarded
Sub-criterion 10: Litter & waste management		
Issues include: • Litter		
Litter bins		
Management of waste		
Education and information.		
Sub-criterion 11: Grounds maintenance and horticulture		
Issues include:		
Standards		
Visual appeal and impact		
Safe working practices		
Involvement.		
Sub-criterion 12: Building and infrastructure maintenance		
Issues include:		
Routine or programmed maintenance		
Repairs (routine and emergency)		
• Safety		
Condition and appearance.		

Field Research Scoring Guide									
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10			
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	20		

Appraisal criterion 3: Clean and well maintained	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 13: Equipment maintenance		
Issues include: Inspection Routine or Programmed maintenance Repairs (routine and emergency) Safety Condition and appearance.		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	21

Appraisal criterion 4: Sustainability	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 14: Environmental sustainability – energy and natural resource conservation, pollution		
Issues include: Environmental management Energy and water conservation Natural resource conservation Pollution.		
Sub-criterion 15: Pesticides		
Issues include: • Audit and Strategy • Working practices.		
Sub-criterion 16: Peat use		
As Peat use is a very singular issue, no attempt has been made to further breakdown this scoring element, the issue is entirely about the degree to which peat is used on the site or the degree to which alternative materials are used.		
Scoring guidance:		
NO PEAT in use		
PEAT IN USE (with no attempt to reduce peat use)		
PEAT REDUCED composts in use (and evidenced) 7/10		
PEAT FREE composts in full use, but seedlings/plugs bought/grown in peat		

Field Research Scoring Guide									
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10		
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	22	

Appraisal criterion 4: Sustainability	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 17: Waste minimisation		
Issues include:		
Reduce		
Reuse		
Recycle		
Procurement strategy		
Information.		
Sub-criterion 18: Arboriculture and woodland management		
Issues include:		
Planting		
Establishment and care		
Health and safety		
Ecology and environment.		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10		
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	23	

Appraisal criterion 5: Conservation and heritage	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 19: Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora		
Issues include:		
 Woodlands and Trees Grasslands Fauna Geological and physiological features. 		
Sub-criterion 20: Conservation of landscape features		
This section provides advice about landscape features other than buildings and structures, as these are dealt with in sub-criterion 21: Conservation of buildings and structures.		
 Historic landscape design Water bodies Landform and landscape character. 		
Sub-criterion: 21: Conservation of buildings and structures		
 Conservation status Buildings Built structures New features. 		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	24

Appraisal criterion 6: Community involvement	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criteria 22: Community involvement in management and development including outreach work		
Issues include:		
 Knowledge of visitors and use Involvement of volunteer groups and friends groups Community development including outreach work Partnerships. 		
Sub-criterion 23: Appropriate provision for the community		
Issues include:		
 Appropriate Facilities for all sectors of the community Children's Play Education Facilities Disabled Access. 		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10		
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	25	

Appraisal criterion 7: Marketing	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded		
Sub-criterion 24: Marketing and promotion				
Issues include:				
 Marketing and promotion Events Increasing external support and use Increasing internal and political support. 				
Sub-criterion 25: Provision of appropriate information				
Issues include: Information and marketing messages are produced in a broad range of material, typically including: Newsletters Annual reports Events calendars Press releases Websites, either a unique website for the green space, local authority website, or through popular local media based websites Use of Public Information boards on site The format and quality of the information provided suits the audience for which it was intended i.e. information to promote activities for children during the summer holidays needs to be presented in a lively and dynamic style that will appeal to children whilst not				

Field Research Scoring Guide									
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10			
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	26		

	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score
Appraisal criterion 7: Marketing	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	awarded
Sub-criterion 26: Provision of appropriate educational interpretation / information	•	•
Educational and interpretation material is produced in a broad range of material, typically including: Summaries of green space history Wildlife information Site management plans Leaflets that address specific issues Education packs/teacher project sheets		
Innovative approaches have been developed to enable information about aspects of the green space to be interpreted more effectively such as: Sculptures Theatre Story telling Interpretation trails Video 'Place making' exercises Managers have actively sought the involvement of specialist organisations Managers have successfully engaged many third party organisations, particularly schools, colleges and community groups in the production and delivery of interpretative material.		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
	0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10	
	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	27

Appraisal criterion 8: Management plan	Notes (strengths and weaknesses)	Overall Score awarded
Sub-criterion 27: Implementation of management plan		
The appraisal of this scoring criterion concentrates on the management's ability to implement the management plan for the green space, rather than the quality of the management plan itself, which is reviewed as part of the desk research element of the assessment. Issues include:		
 Action plan and timescales Active use by management, staff and adequate consultation with the community/users Evidence base Monitoring and review. 		

Field Research Scoring Guide								
0 1	2, 3, or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10		
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional	28	

Park and green space self assessment guide FIELD RESEARCH summary sheet

Name o	of site:	

To what degree does the park or green space meet the following criteria in practice? Mark each out of 10.

Walk Cash out of 16.					
A W	A Welcoming Place Score				
1	Welcoming				
2	Good & safe access				
3	Signage				
4	Equal access for all				
Hea	Healthy, Safe and Secure				
5	Safe equipment & facilities				
6	Personal security in park				
7	Dog fouling				
8	Appropriate provision of facilities				
9	Quality of facilities				
Clea	Clean and Well Maintained				
10	Litter & waste management				
11	Grounds maintenance & horticulture				
12	Building & infrastructure maintenance				
13	Equipment maintenance				
Sus	Sustainability				
14	Environmental sustainability – energy & natural resource				
15	Pesticides				
16	Peat use				
17	Waste minimisation				
18	Arboriculture & woodland management				

Conservation and Heritage Score			
19.	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna & flora		
20.	Conservation of landscape features		
21.	Conservation of buildings & structures		
Community Involvement			
22.	Community involvement in management & development		
23	Appropriate provision for the community		
Marketing			
24.	Marketing and promotion		
25.	Provision of appropriate information		
26.	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information		
Management			
27.	Implementation of management plan		
	Total		
	Average (Total divided by 27)		
	OUT OF 100 (Average X 10)		

Note:

The criterion highlighted with a shaded box are the only criteria which may be treated as "not applicable" when conducting an assessment.

Park and green space self assessment guide FIELD RESEARCH summary sheet

Name of site:	

Please use this table to comment on the strengths and weaknesses of each area. Additional comments and recommendations should be recorded at the bottom.

Assessment Criteria	Strengths	Recommendations
A Welcoming Place		
Healthy, Safety and Secure		
Clean and Well Maintained		
Sustainability		
Conservation and Heritage		
Community Involvement		
Marketing		
Management		
Comments/ Recommendations		